| Chg<br>Req<br># | Title  | Author        | Date<br>Submitted | Requirement  | Requirement Change Request<br>Description  | Priority | LOE | Discussion<br>Date | Discussion (and/or options)  | Decision   | Documentation<br>Updates Required                         |
|-----------------|--|---------------|-------------------|--|--|----------|-----|--------------------|--|--|---|
| 1               | View Finding Codes   | Ti Baker      | 14-May-03         | The system will allow users to view finding codes from the left menu.  | The system will provide users with finding codes on the left menu  | High     | 5   | 20-May-03          | The intention of this requirement is to allow the Co-<br>Team leader to see the findings coded by the screener<br>as an input for assigning a submission.  | Postpone   | Add to requirements matrix and designate for "future."    |
|                 |  |               |                   |  |  |          |     |                    | Agreed to defer from approving this for R 1.01 in favor of QC requirements.  |  |   |
| 2               | Coder Queue  | Ti Baker      | 14-May-03         | The system will provide a Coder queue with sort capability.  |  | Medium   | 8   | 20-May-03          | Agreed to defer from approving this for R 1.01 in favor of QC requirements.  | Postpone   | Add to requirements matrix and designate for "future."    |
| 3               | PADL Submission to Co-<br>Team Leader                            | Ti Baker      | 14-May-03         | The system shall allow PADL to be sent to Co-Team leader without DDIF, ACD, and FAD.   |  | Medium   | 8   | 20-May-03          | Agreed to defer this from R 1.01 consideration in favor of QC requirements.  | Postpone   | Add to requirements matrix and designate for "future."    |
|                 | Display of Composite Score<br>on Financial Statements<br>reports | Ti Baker      | 14-May-03         | The system will display Public School composite scores as "N/A".   | Currently displays the eZ-audit composite score.   |          | 4   |                    | Agreed to defer from approving this for R 1.01 in favor of QC requirements.  | Postpone   | Add to requirements matrix and designate for "future."    |
| 5               | Display of Public School<br>Composite Score                      | Ti Baker      | 14-May-03         | The system will display Public School composite scores as "N/A".   | Currently displays zero, which leads<br>users to believe that a composite score<br>was done for the school | High     | 3   | 20-May-03          | Agreed to defer from approving this for R 1.01 in favor of QC requirements.  | Postpone   | Add to requirements matrix and designate for "future."    |
|                 | Checklist  | Ti Baker      |                   | The system will display an "Equity Statement" item on Non-<br>Profit and Public School checklists.   | Currently displayed on the For-Profit checklist  | Low      | 3   | 20-May-03          | This requirement may be written incorrectly. Non-profit and public schools do not have equity. Was the intention to delete existing fields/labels?   |  |   |
| 7               | Financial Statements Flag  | Ti Baker      | 14-May-03         | The system will flag financial statement submissions that had an LOC posted for the previous year.   |  |          | 4   | 20-May-03          | Agreed to defer from approving this for R 1.01 in favor of QC requirements.  | Postpone   | Add to requirements matrix<br>and designate for "future." |
| 8               | Financial Statements Flag  | Ti Baker      | 14-May-03         | The system will flag financial statement submissions that had<br>been placed in the zone in the previous year, yet pass on current<br>submission.                                    |  |          | 4   | 20-May-03          | Agreed to defer from approving this for R 1.01 in favor of QC requirements.  | Postpone   | Add to requirements matrix and designate for "future."    |
| 9               | QC   | Ti Baker      | 14-May-03         | The system will provide Financial QC users with access to the FS worksheets to perform the ratio analysis/calculation for non-flagged statements.                                    | This request is needed because this would be the only part of the QC function not performed in eZ-Audit.   |          | 12  | 20-May-03          | This should be grouped with the QC requirements in Change Request # 10.  | TBD (pending<br>further<br>information<br>regarding<br>available<br>hours) |   |
| 10              | Revised QC Requirements  | Seth Sinclair | 20-May-03         | I. The system will route all incoming submissions to a "QC Queue."   |  | High     | 33  | 20-May-03          | Agreed that QC Requirements are the highest priority for addition to R 1.01.  In the 5/20 session, we were unable to determine if it is possible to add these requirements to R 1.01. A decision is pending FSA verification of having the | TBD (pending<br>further<br>information<br>regarding<br>available<br>hours) |   |
|                 |  |               | 1                 | The system will display a compliance audit and financial statement record for each annual submission in the QC Queue.     The system will remove a financial statement or compliance |  |          |     |                    | flexibility to delete at least 1 existing R 1.01<br>requirement. At least 45 hours will need to be made<br>available (26 are already free based on the initial QC<br>estimate and from deleting a requirement in CR # 11)                  |  |   |
|                 |  |               |                   | audit record from the QC queue when the user selects to submit<br>on the QC page.  4. The system will provide fields to allow a QC User record the                                   |  |          |     |                    | Requests were made to add the following 3 requirements to this list  |  |   |
|                 |  |               |                   | accuracy of data entry, presence of all required attachments, and proper presentation of all attachments for a financial statement.  |  |          |     |                    | 1 - the ability to easily switch off the forced queue<br>2 - ability to see a revision history of changes on the<br>QC page  |  |   |
|                 |  |               |                   | 5. The system will determine the submission's completeness status based on the values entered on the QC page.  | -  |          |     |                    | 3 - add the QC composite score to the submission summary page.   |  |   |
|                 |  |               |                   | 6. The system will calculate a completeness status of "screened incomplete" when the QC user indicates "no" to any question on the QC page.  |  |          |     |                    | It was recommended that any design for QC should be verified with any external stakeholders (OIG, OGC, etc).   |  |   |
|                 |  |               |                   | 7. The system will allow the QC user to edit the system-calculated completeness status.  8. The system will provide a QC user the option to save values                              | _  |          |     |                    |  |  |   |
|                 |  |               |                   | entered on the QC page.  9. The system will provide a "Last Modified By" section on the QC page that will display the username of each QC user who                                   | _  |          |     |                    |  |  |   |
|                 |  |               |                   | selects to save or submit.  10. The system will refer a flagged submission to case once QC is complete for both the compliance audit and financial statement.                        | _  |          |     |                    |  |  |   |
|                 |  |               |                   | The system will allow a QC user to refer a non-flagged submission to case.   | -  |          |     |                    |  |  |   |
|                 |  |               |                   | The system will provide a QC User role with write access to the QC page.      The system will provide case users the ability to view a read-   |  |          |     |                    |  |  |   |
|                 |  |               |                   | only version of the completed QC page.   |  |          |     |                    |  |  |   |

| Chg<br>Req<br># | Title                                     | Author        | Date<br>Submitted | Requirement   | Requirement Change Request<br>Description  | Priority | LOE | Discussion<br>Date | Discussion (and/or options) | Decision                | Documentation<br>Updates Required                                    |
|-----------------|---|---------------|-------------------|---|--|----------|-----|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|
|                 |   |               |                   | 14. The system will display any previously saved values when<br>updating/viewing the QC page for a resubmission.  15. The system will provide fields to allow a QC User record the<br>accuracy of data entry, presence of all required attachments, and<br>proper presentation of all attachments for a compliance audit. |  |          |     |                    |                             |                         |  |
| 11              | Delete R1.01 "Program Area<br>Requirement | Seth Sinclair |                   | The system will display a "Program Area" input field on the Code Findings page.   | Delete this requirement - per design<br>session on 5/13/2003 this<br>requirement is no longer<br>needed/applicable. The R 1.01 LOE<br>for this requirement was 8 days. |          | 8   | 20-May-03          |                             | Delete the requirement. | Set status to "delete" in R<br>1.01 Rational Requirements<br>matrix. |

| Chg | Title                                | Author | Date<br>Submitted | Requirement  | Requirement Change Request<br>Description | Priority | LOE | Discussion<br>Date | Discussion (and/or options) | Decision | Documentation<br>Updates Required                                    |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|--|---|----------|-----|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------|--|
| #   | Delete new LOC fields<br>requirement |        | 23-May-03         | The system will provide two fields to capture Reason Requested                         |   | N/A      | N/A |                    | 11                          |          | Set status to "delete" in R<br>1.01 Rational Requirements<br>matrix. |
|     |                                      |        |                   | The system will provide two fields to capture Amount on the LOC FS Determination page. |   |          |     |                    |                             |          |  |

| Chg<br>Req | Title                | Author      | Date<br>Submitted | Requirement   | Requirement Change Request<br>Description | Priority | LOE | Discussion<br>Date | Discussion (and/or options)  | Decision     | Documentation<br>Updates Required                               |
|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------|---|---|----------|-----|--------------------|--|--------------|---|
| #          |                      |             | Submitteu         |   | Description                               |          |     | Date               |  |              | opuates nequired  |
| N/A F      | 1.01 Design Approval | Design Team | 4-Jun-03          | Sign-off on R 1.01 Design  Reviewed and Approved UC 1 – Manage Users UC 10 – Code Findings UC 13 – Assign Submissions UC 13 – Select an Institution UC 17 – Send to Co-Team Leader for Approval UC 20 – Complete DDIF UC 29 – Resolve Waiver Request UC 30 – QC UC 31 – Unsolicited LOC  Pending Approval UC 7 – Query UC 9 – Create Submission UC 32 – Backing Letter Upload UC 23 – Greate Determination for FS UC 33 – Backing Letter Accept | Approve R 1.01 design                     | N/A      | N/A | 4-Jun-03           | 1) Reviewed Use Cases 7, 9, 23, 32, and 33 2) Release 1.01 Design is approved pending the implementation and delivery of the following changes:  UC 7 - Query (Administrative Stay requirement) - Display "username" of user who takes any admin stay action and display action taken (start, stop. cancel) - Add a "cancel" function. Cancel is only applicable after admin stay is started. Cancel cannot be performed for an admin stay that has already been started and stopped Admin Stay functionality will only be available to users with the "Co-Team" leader role Add text to "audit" section title to state "Requires OCFO Approval." - Update list of submission reasons on the Search page to reflect all available in the system.  UC 9 - Create Submission - Modify reference to Unaudited Financial Statement submission to reflect text/options on the upload page.  UC 32 and 33 - Letter of Public Status Upload and Accept - Change all references to "Backing Letter" to "Letter of Public Status" (per Sherry's research 6/4) - Add notifications to acknowledge 1) receipt 2) accepted 3) rejected | implemented. | Update use cases per action items identified in the discussion. |

| Chg<br>Req | Title                                | Author        | Date<br>Submitted | Requirement   | Requirement Change Request<br>Description | Priority | LOE                 | Discussion<br>Date | Discussion (and/or options)  | Decision   | Documentation<br>Updates Required |
|------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---|---|----------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| N/A        | Proposed "School Group" Requirements | Seth Sinclair |                   | Ability to change the "Submitting" School for a Group Requirement should include a User Interface component Module would provide Admin User the ability to change the Submitting School for a group but will not allow the user to add or delete group members Implementation requires a change to the current PEPS interface to remove current process of setting first school in the group as the Submitting School  2) Allow New Submitting School to View Historical Info for the Group Implementation requires code changes to the Home, View Historical Submissions, and Additional Information Pages | Consider requirements for R 1.01          | N/A      | See<br>Decisi<br>on |                    | Reviewed proposed school group requirements for 1) Ability to change the "submitting" school for a group and 2) Allowing a new "submitting" school to view historical info for the group (see attached slide for details)  Presented an LOE of 11 days for requirement #1 (Simple JSP (3 days) + Medium Java (8 days))  Presented an LOE of 8 days for requirement #2 (Medium Java)  Discussed that these requirements should also include moving full ownership of School Group data to eZ-Audit, including ability to add and remove schools. When this additional functionality is incorporated into requirement #1 above, the LOE increases to 16 days (Simple JSP (3 days) + Complex Java (12 days) + Simple Interface (1 day)) | Agreed that the preferred method for handling School Group issues is to walk through the entire process, document all requirements, and implement as part of a 2.0 release.  Ti will meet with the Core Team to determine FSA's approach to implementing new School Group requirements. The functional team will not take any further action | N/A                               |

| Chg<br>Req<br># | Title                                      | Author            | Date<br>Submitted | Requirement   | Requirement Change Request<br>Description | Priority | LOE                      | Discussion<br>Date | Discussion (and/or options)   | Decision                     | Documentation<br>Updates Required   |
|-----------------|--|-------------------|-------------------|---|---|----------|--------------------------|--------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 13              | Add OPE ID Search for Ed<br>Administrators | Cricket<br>Hosier |                   | The system will provide an ED Admin user the ability to search for Users by OPE ID on the ED Admin home page. | Add to Release 1.01                       | Low      | M<br>Java<br>(8<br>days) |                    | Reviewed proposed requirement to add "OPE ID" to ED Admin User Search Page  2) Agreed that this requirements is Low priority and that it should be designated as "future." There are no impacts to the R 1.01 schedule  3) Seth will add the requirement to Req Pro for future tracking | Add as future<br>requirement | Update Req Pro with new requirement |